

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
AUG 21 2006

#### REMARKS TO THE AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

The above requested amendment to the claims is to correct the non-compliance of the Amendment to the Claims submitted 4 April 2006. During review of the claims, it was determined that the amendments requested in the Non-Compliant 4 April 2006 Amendment of both claim 27 and 81 (the independent claims) could be re-located within the respective claim to add clarity to the claims and to make the prosecution easier.

Support for the subject matter of both claims remains unchanged from remarks submitted on 4 April 2006. Therefore, support is not entered here. Examiner is respectfully requested to refer to the previously submitted remarks for each claim. The exact quotations from the specification supporting the amendments are listed there.


There were three changes of substance from the Non-compliant 4 April 2006 amendment. For completeness and expediting the prosecution the explanations are provided below.

1. The first instance of the phrase "without the incompatible filler present" found in the Non-compliant 4 April 2006 Amendment has been eliminated. This is because this first instance of the limitation "light absorbed by a single continuous portion of the colored transparent article" refers to the container with the filler present and the additional limitation of "without the incompatible filler present" refers to how  $A_i$  is determined.
2. The phrase "alters the light absorption of the colored transparent article" is added to make it clear that light absorbing composition(s) alter the light absorption of the article in a single continuous portion subject to the limitation of the equation. Support for this is pointed out in the previous remarks in the quoted sections during the discussion of claims 27 and 81 at points 2 and 3.

3. Added the word "determined" before the inserted clause "light absorbed by a single continuous portion of the colored transparent article without the incompatible filler" and inserted the word "present" after the inserted clause. This makes it clear that Ai is determined in the manner as directly quoted from the specification. Again, the support for these was discussed in the 4 April 2006 remarks which have been entered as part of the record (See remarks to claims 27 and 81 at point 5.)

The Commissioner is also authorized to deduct any charges or credit any overages to deposit account 50-3651.

Respectfully submitted,



Attorney for Applicant(s)

Edwin A. Sisson, Reg. No. 48,723  
2845 Woodhaven Drive  
Medina, OH 44256  
Telephone: (330) 598-1062